Who Governs BoundaryScore™?

The industry does—through the Boundary Collective™.

1 What is the Boundary Collective™?

The Boundary Collective™ is a Delaware nonprofit created to govern BoundaryScore™ as an industry institution rather than a company-controlled score. Its purpose is to keep scoring neutral, rigorous, transparent and responsive to real-world evidence and marketplace needs. No individual company—including Paverly—governs the score.

2 Who governs BoundaryScore™?

The industry does. Boundary Collective™ brings together the full mobility and public-trust ecosystem: OEMs, AV operators, suppliers, insurers, researchers, standards and regulatory experts, technology providers, trade and industry groups, safety and consumer organizations, and perspectives from the press and public. Its structure prevents any single commercial constituency from controlling scoring decisions.

3 What is Paverly's role?

Paverly owns and operates the BoundaryScore™ platform and serves as administrator to the Boundary Collective™. In that role, Paverly supports operations, technology and implementation—but subordinates algorithmic and scoring authority, together with score-related data and privacy governance, to the Boundary Collective™ and its authorized bodies. Paverly cannot unilaterally change the score.

4 Who decides how scoring changes?

A nine-seat Scoring Committee governs changes to methodology, metrics, weighting, thresholds, required scoring data and related mechanics. Its membership spans OEM, AV, insurance, academic and statistical, standards and regulatory, technical-systems and independent perspectives. No commercial bloc controls the Committee. Approved scoring requirements apply consistently to every participant.

5 How are changes made?

Changes follow an orderly, evidence-based and transparent process. Proposals are evaluated for fairness, statistical validity, comparability, implementation burden, resistance to gaming and real-world usefulness. Material changes require defined voting thresholds, documented rationale, version control and a disciplined release cadence. Participants receive transition time when implementation requires it; historical results remain tied to the methodology under which they were produced.

6 How does the marketplace have a voice?

The Industry Advisory Council and formal proposal process bring forward the needs, concerns and experience of the marketplace—from regulators, participants and trade groups to insurers, researchers, safety and consumer organizations, the press and the public. The Scoring Committee must consider that input, but decisions remain evidence-based. Boundary Collective™ does not replace regulators, certification, investigation or legal process, and BoundaryScore™ does not determine safety or fault.